1019

## Detection of Stereochemical Nonrigidity in a Metal Tetrahydroborate and Estimation of the Barrier: <sup>1</sup>H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Study

By TOBIN J. MARKS\* and JOHN R. KOLB

(Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201)

Summary Slowing of the dynamic interchange of bridge and terminal hydrogens in a metal tetrahydroborate has been observed for the first time in the low temperature <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectra of  $(C_5H_5)_3$ UBH<sub>4</sub>; at coalescence,  $\Delta G^*$  is ca.  $5\cdot 0 \pm 0.6$  kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> (21  $\pm 2\cdot 5$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>).

<sup>1</sup>H NUCLEAR MAGNETIC RESONANCE spectra of covalent metal tetrahydroborates invariably show the bridging and terminal  $BH_4$  protons to be magnetically equivalent. It has been thought that this anomaly was due to rapid intramolecular rearrangement,<sup>1</sup> but attempts at elucidation (or confirmation) have been deterred by extraneous quadrupolar effects<sup>2</sup> and the apparent extreme rapidity of the process. We report an experiment which allows for the first time, detection of the fluxional behaviour and estimation of the free energy barrier.

The compound chosen for study was  $(\eta - C_5 H_5)_3 UBH_4^3$  (1) which has been shown<sup>4</sup> by vibrational spectroscopy to have a triple hydrogen bridge. Amplification of the chemical shift difference between terminal and bridging hydrogens (the exchanging sites) is achieved *via* the demonstrated<sup>5</sup> ability of the  $(C_5 H_5)_3 U$  (IV) moiety to function as an efficient (large shifts, short electron spin relaxation time) shift reagent. Broad-band decoupling of <sup>11</sup>B is essential for simplifying the temperature dependence<sup>2</sup> of the spectrum. The observed complete collapse of the BH<sub>4</sub> singlet resonance by  $-120^{\circ}$  was shown not to arise from relaxation phenomena<sup>6</sup> unrelated to the slowing of a chemical exchange process



since the lineshape changes are essentially independent of concentration, solvent (diethyl ether, dimethyl ether, toluene), and solvent viscosity. The  $C_5H_5$  resonance remains sharp throughout the temperature range +25 to  $-121^{\circ}$ . The temperature dependence of the chemical shifts exhibits approximate Curie–Weiss behaviour. Furthermore, we have synthesized the nonfluxional molecule (2) via

reaction<sup>7</sup> of (1) with triethylborane. The hydride resonance of this molecule broadens only slightly over the temperature range +25 to  $-121^{\circ}$ . These results indicate the fluxional process in (1) is becoming slow at  $-120^{\circ}$ . The low solubility and great reactivity of (1) have prevented studies at lower temperatures and in other solvent systems.

The frequency separation between bridge and terminal hydrogens in (1) can be estimated by combining the chemical shift data from (1) and (2). At the coalescence point which we estimate to be  $-140 \pm 20^{\circ}$ ,  $\Delta v = 15,000-36,000$  Hz. Assuming that  $\tau \approx 2/\pi\Delta v$ ,  $\dagger$  where  $\tau$  is the mean lifetime, we obtain  $\tau \approx 22 \pm 8 \,\mu \text{s}$  and  $\Delta G_{\text{c}}^* \approx 5.0 \pm 0.6 \,\text{kcal mol}^{-1}$  $(21 \pm 2.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ . This result, of admittedly limited accuracy, represents the first rate data on such fluxional systems. In addition, the use of paramagnetic probes in dynamic n.m.r. may be of general utility. Further studies are in progress.

We thank the National Science Foundation and the Petroleum Research Fund for support of this research. J. R. Kolb is an N.S.F. predoctoral fellow.

(Received, 8th June 1972; Com. 986.)

† This equation is only strictly valid if the exchanging sites have equal populations, but is a reasonable approximation.

<sup>1</sup> R. A. Ogg and J. D. Ray, Discuss. Faraday Soc., 1955, 19, 237; R. E. Williams, J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem., 1961, 20, 198; B. D. <sup>1</sup> K. A. Ogg and J. D. Ray, Discuss. Fundary Soc., 1953, 19, 251, K. E. Winnans, J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem., 1901, 20, 196, B. D. James, R. K. Nanda, and M. G. H. Wallbridge, Inorg. Chem., 1967, 6, 1979; C. H. Bushweller, H. Beall, M. Grace, W. J. Dewkett, and H. S. Bilofsky, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 2145.
<sup>2</sup> T. J. Marks and L. A. Shimp, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 1542.
<sup>3</sup> M. L. Anderson and L. R. Crisler, J. Organometallic Chem., 1969, 17, 345.
<sup>4</sup> T. J. Marks, W. J. Kennelly, J. R. Kolb, and L. A. Shimp, Inorg. Chem., in the press.
<sup>5</sup> D. Burk Amer. Amer. J. D. Discher and R. Korb, and L. A. Shimp, Inorg. Chem., 1079, 105, 45.

<sup>5</sup> R. von Ammon, R. D. Fischer, and B. Kanellakopulos, Chem. Ber., 1972, 105, 45.

<sup>6</sup> A. Abragam, 'The Principles of Nuclear Magnetism,' Oxford University Press, London, 1961, ch. 8; H. J. Keller, N.M.R., Basic Princip. Progr., 1970, 2, 53; G. N. LaMar, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 3567; N. Bloembergen and L. O. Morgan, J. Chem. Phys., 1961, 34, 842; H. Sternlicht, ibid., 1966, 42, 2250.

7 H. I. Schlesinger, H. C. Brown, L. Horvitz, A. C. Bond, L. D. Tuck, and A. O. Walker, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1953, 75, 222.